arXiv:1604.06331v2 [hep-th] 22 Apr 2016

Holographic Schwinger effect with a rotating probe D3-brane

Hao Xu[1†] Yong-Chang Huang[1,2*]

[1]Institute of Theoretical Physics, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China

[2]CCAST(WorldLab.), P.O. Box 8730, 100080, Beijing, China

Abstract

This paper presents the potential research on holographic Schwinger effect with rotating probe D3-brane etc. We discover, for zero temperature case in the Schwinger effect, the faster the angular velocity, the farther the distance of the test particles pair at D3-brane, the potential barrier of total potential energy also grows higher and wider. This paper discovers: at finite temperature, when S^5 without rotation near the horizon, there is the failure of the Schwinger effect because the particles will remain at annihilate state, which is an absolute vacuum state. However, the angular velocity in S^5 will avoid the existence of the absolute vacuum near the horizon. Both zero and finite temperature states, the achieved results completely agree with the results of the DBI action. So the theories of this paper are consistent, all these show that these theories will play important roles in pair production research in the future.

[1]† E-mail: xuhao1107@gmail.com

[2]* E-mail: ychuang@bjut.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Schwinger effect [1] is a famous non-perturbational phenomenon in Quantum Electrodynamics. The virtual electron-positron pair turns into real particles by strong electric field. Many strong external fields will produce a neutral particle pair from high dimensional spacetime such as strings and D-branes [2]. Therefore, the Schwinger effect is the objective existence and is an essential ingredient to get a profound understanding for the vacuum structure and non-perturbative aspects of string theory as well as quantum field theories [3].

Virtual particles to become real particles must be able to overcome some potential from external field energy. As a quantum process, the potential energy is closely related to the quantum tunneling effect [4]. Assuming that virtual particles are separated a distance, which is simply analyzed via the Coulomb potential, then the expression of potential contains two possible quantum processes, the critical electric field E_c is a key factor in this two processes. When $E < E_c$, the potential energy barrier exists and the quantum tunneling can occur, so the vacuum stays stability. Whereas if $E > E_c$, real particles production rate will no longer have the exponentially suppressed property, the vacuum will become catastrophic instability.

So how to get the critical electric field is an important problem. The critical value of the external electric field is supposed to be in QED [2]. Unfortunately, it might not be observed from the pair-production rate computed in QED [5].

Thus it is interesting to consider the Schwinger effect in a holographic method, and a kind of investegations was initiated by [2, 6]. If the system is the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, it can calculate the critical field accurately, and the result agrees with the DBI result [6]. The extension of the magnetic field also has been completed [7, 8]. However, if we estimate the critical field through using Coulomb potential to calculate the AdS/CFT instruction [9], there would be some deviation from both string world-sheet result and the DBI result [10, 11]. Yoshiki Sato and Kentaroh Yoshida examine a static potential by evaluating the classical action from a probe D3-brane sitting at AdS spacetime, then the resulting critical field completely accords with the DBI result [3, 12].

The Higgsing of $U(N+1)$ to $U(1) \times U(N)$ is gotten by separating one D3-brane from a parallel stack of N coincident D3-branes [6]. The stack of D3-branes is replaced by the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background in the large N limit [8]. The probe D3-brane fixes at $r = r_0$ in AdS space. R. G. Cai et al show that the super Yang-Mills corresponding to the rotating D3-branes is in the Higgs phase, and

we can set the probe to have the angular momentum in some ϕ-direction [10, 13–17]. An approach is that rotating open strings can connect to the probe branes [18].

In this paper, we would like to investigate holographic Schwinger effect by setting the probe D3-brane to have the angular velocity and calculate its potential function. In Section II, we analyze the potential at zero temperature, then compare to the DBI result. In Section III, we analyze the effect at finite temperature and discover a relation between separate distance and the D3-brane position, the critical field E_c also completely agrees with the DBI result. Section IV is discussion, summary and conclusion.

2 Research on potential at zero temperature

2.1 Setup

We should setup the needed background spacetime. First, the metric in the Poincare coordinates of $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ is

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{r^2}{L^2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \mathrm{d}x^\nu + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\mathrm{d}r^2 + L^2\mathrm{d}\Omega_5^2. \tag{2.1}$$

where L is the AdS radius and $\mathrm{d}\Omega_5^2$ describes S^5, the coordinates $x^\mu(\mu = 0, ..., 3)$ describe a 4-dimensional slice for each r. For simplicity, when we consider rotation, no losing generality, we choose one rotational motion direction, i.e. $\mathrm{d}\Omega_5 = \mathrm{d}\phi$.

2.2 Potential research

The next is the computation of the classical solution from string world-sheet. We choose the Euclidean signature and the Nambu-Goto action of string is

$$S = T_F \int \mathrm{d}\tau \int \mathrm{d}\sigma \sqrt{\mathrm{det}G_{ab}}. \tag{2.2}$$

where the induced metric $G_{ab}(a,\ b = 0, 1)$ is given by $G_{ab} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^a}\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \sigma^b}g_{\mu\nu}$. The world-sheet coordinates of string are $\sigma^a = (\tau,\ \sigma)$. For our assumption, it is handy to work on the static gauge [19],

which is given by

$$x^0 = \tau, \qquad x^1 = \sigma. \tag{2.3}$$

For the classical solution, suppose that the radial direction only depends on σ and the angular direction only depends on τ,

$$r = r(\sigma), \qquad \phi = \phi(\tau). \tag{2.4}$$

We can get Lagrangian density through induced metric G_{ab} under the ansatz above, so

$$\begin{aligned} G_{00} &= \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \sigma^0}\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \sigma^0}\, g_{00} + \frac{\partial x^\phi}{\partial \sigma^0}\frac{\partial x^\phi}{\partial \sigma^0}\, g_{\phi\phi} \\ &= \frac{r^2}{L^2} + L^2\dot{\phi}^2, \qquad \dot{\phi} \equiv \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau}, \\ G_{01} &= G_{10} \;=\; 0, \\ G_{11} &= \frac{\partial x^1}{\partial \sigma^1}\frac{\partial x^1}{\partial \sigma^1}\, g_{11} + \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \sigma^1}\frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \sigma^1}\, g_{rr} \\ &= \frac{r^2}{L^2} + \frac{L^2}{r^2}(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \sigma})^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \sqrt{(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2 + \frac{r^4}{L^4} + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Because $\mathcal{L}$ doesn't depend on σ explicitly, it is easy to prove that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\sigma r)}\partial_\sigma r - \mathcal{L} \tag{2.7}$$

is conserved. Putting Eq.(2.6) into Eq.(2.7), we achieve that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma} + \frac{L^4}{r^2}\dot{\phi}^2\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}}{\sqrt{(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2 + \frac{r^4}{L^4} + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2\dot{\phi}^2}}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma} \\ &\qquad - \sqrt{(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2 + \frac{r^4}{L^4} + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2\dot{\phi}^2} \;=\; C, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

we can obtain that

$$-\frac{\frac{r^4}{L^4}+r^2\dot{\phi}^2}{\sqrt{(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2+\frac{r^4}{L^4}+r^2\dot{\phi}^2+\frac{L^4}{r^2}(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma})^2\dot{\phi}^2}} \;=\; C. \tag{2.9}$$

Considering the boundary condition, when $\sigma = 0$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma} = 0 \quad , \quad r = r_c \;\; (r < r_c). \tag{2.10}$$

Substituting Eq.(2.10) into Eq.(2.9) due to the boundary condition, we can find the conserved constant

$$C \;=\; -\sqrt{\frac{r_c^4}{L^4}+r_c^2\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{2.11}$$

Putting Eq.(2.11) into Eq.(2.9), we have a differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma} \;=\; \frac{r^2}{L^2 r_c}\sqrt{\frac{(r^2-r_c^2)(r^2+r_c^2+L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}{r_c^2+L^4\dot{\phi}^2}}. \tag{2.12}$$

We plot the numerical result of differential equation (2.12) in Fig.1. When we change the angular



Figure 1: The diagram of the relationship between different angular velocity and different r and σ. When we change the angular velocity and select the same r, we find that the σ will increase when the angular velocity ϕ increases.

velocity and select the same r, we find that σ will increase with the increase of the angular velocity $\dot{\phi}$. In addition, the equation of motion (2.12) can also be achieved through taking Lagrangian density (2.9) into the Lagrange equation directly, we can obtain the same calculation results. Here, we choose the energy conservation way, is to simplify the calculation above. And it can decrease the

order of the differential equation from 2-order to 1-order. We should calculate the separate length x



Figure 2: When the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0$, $\dot{\phi} = 1.5$ and $\dot{\phi} = 4$ respectively, the figure shows that the relation between the separate length x of test particle pair on the probe brane and r_0/r_c.

of test particles in the probe brane, we get the expression of x by integrating the differential equation (2.12),

$$x = 2\int_{r_c}^{r_0} \mathrm{d}r\, \frac{L^2 r_c}{r^2}\sqrt{\frac{r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2}{(r^2 - r_c^2)(r^2 + r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then we can calculate and plot the relation between the separate length x and r_0/r_c, which is just shown in Fig.2.



Figure 3: When the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0, 2, 4$, respectively, the figure shows that the relation between the separate length x of test particle pair on the probe brane and the sum of Coulomb potential and Energy $V_{\mathrm{CP+E}}$.

According to the previous setting, now we can achieve the modified form of the sum of Coulomb

potential and Energy,

$$V_{\rm CP+E} = 2\,T_F\int_0^{x/2}{\rm d}\sigma\,\mathcal{L}$$
$$= 2\,T_F\int_{r_c}^{r_0}{\rm d}r\,\frac{r^2+L^4\dot{\phi}^2}{\sqrt{(r^2-r_c^2)\left(r^2+r_c^2+L^4\dot{\phi}^2\right)}}. \quad (2.14)$$

The results of the different angular velocities corresponding to $V_{\rm CP+E}$ are showed in Fig.3.

2.3 Calculating the critical field by DBI action

In this part, we will investigate the critical field by DBI action. We also use the same spacetime background in Section 2.1 and use the Lorentz signature. Choosing the rotation direction as ϕ, the metric form is given by

$${\rm d}s^2 = \frac{r^2}{L^2}{\rm d}t^2+\frac{L^2}{r^2}{\rm d}r^2-\frac{r^2}{L^2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left({\rm d}x^i\right)^2+L^2{\rm d}\phi^2 \quad (2.15)$$

And then we consider the DBI action of a rotating probe D3-brane [18] on the AdS background with a constant world-volume electric-field E [8]. The rotating probe D3-brane is fixed at $r=r_0$. So the DBI action is written into the form

$$S_{\rm DBI} = -T_{\rm D3}\int{\rm d}^4x\sqrt{-{\rm det}(G_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})}\,, \quad (2.16)$$

where $T_{\rm D3}$ is the D3-brane tension

$$T_{\rm D3} = \frac{1}{g_{\rm s}(2\pi)^3\alpha'^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

We use Eq.(2.15) to compute the induced metric $G_{\mu\nu}$

$$G_{00}=\left(\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 g_{00}+\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 g_{\phi\phi} = \frac{r^2}{L^2}+L^2\dot{\phi}^2, \qquad \dot{\phi}=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0},$$
$$G_{11}=-\frac{r^2}{L^2}, \quad G_{22} = -\frac{r^2}{L^2}, \quad G_{33}=-\frac{r^2}{L^2}. \quad (2.18)$$

Now let us consider the $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ term. There is a factor $2\pi\alpha'$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu}$ [20], thus we have

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} r^2/L^2 + L^2\dot{\phi}^2 & 2\pi\alpha' E_1 & 2\pi\alpha' E_2 & 2\pi\alpha' E_3 \\ -2\pi\alpha' E_1 & -r^2/L^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\pi\alpha' E_2 & 0 & -r^2/L^2 & 0 \\ -2\pi\alpha' E_3 & 0 & 0 & -r^2/L^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.19}$$

It implies that

$$\det(G_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}) = -\left(\frac{r^2}{L^2}\right)^4 \left(1 - \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2 L^4}{r^4} E^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}\dot{\phi}^2\right). \tag{2.20}$$

Taking Eq.(2.20) into the DBI action (2.16) and make the probe D3-brane located at $r = r_0$, we get

$$S_{\rm DBI} \overset{r=r_0}{=\!=} -T_{\rm D3}\frac{r_0^4}{L^4}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{1 - \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2 L^4}{r^4} E^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}\dot{\phi}^2}\,. \tag{2.21}$$

In order to avoid the action (2.21) being ill-defined, we need

$$1 - \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2 L^4}{r^4} E^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}\dot{\phi}^2 \geq 0. \tag{2.22}$$

So the range of electric field is

$$E \leq \frac{r_0^2}{L^2} T_F \sqrt{1 + \frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{2.23}$$

We can finally get the critical field value, which is given by

$$E_c = \frac{r_0^2}{L^2} T_F \sqrt{1 + \frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{2.24}$$

2.4 Total potential

We compute the total potential by turning on an electric field E along the x^1 direction [8]. For simplicity, we introduce a variable dimensionless parameter

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{E}{E_c}. \tag{2.25}$$

According to Eq.(2.13) and Eq.(2.14), the total potential V_{tot} is

$$\begin{aligned} V_{tot} &= V_{\mathrm{CP+E}} - Ex \\ &= 2\,T_F \int_{r_c}^{r_0} \mathrm{d}r\, \frac{r^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2}{\sqrt{(r^2 - r_c^2)(r^2 + r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}} \\ &\quad - 2\alpha\, \frac{r_0^2}{L^2} T_F \sqrt{1 + \frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2} \int_{r_c}^{r_0} \mathrm{d}r \frac{L^2 r_c}{r^2} \sqrt{\frac{r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2}{(r^2 - r_c^2)(r^2 + r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

When $\alpha = 0.8$, if the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0$, $\dot{\phi} = 2$ and $\dot{\phi} = 4$ respectively, the relation between total potential V_{tot} and the separate distance x of test particle pair on the rotating D3-brane is showed in Fig.4.



Figure 4: When $\alpha = 0.8$, if the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0$, $\dot{\phi} = 2\dot{\phi} = 4$ respectively, the relation between total potential V_{tot} and the separate distance x of test particle pair on the rotating D3-brane is showed.

As a quantum effect, the generation of real particle pair in Schwinger effect depends on quantum tunneling. In order to become real particle pair, virtual electron-positron pair need to obtain a greater energy than the rest energy of the particles from the external electric field [4]. Eq.(2.26) is the difference between the potential and the energy from the external electric field. If we revisit the

quantum mechanics, we will find that the existence of the potential barrier can make the production rate be exponentially suppressed, which can make vacuum become stable.

Aiming to different values of α (corresponding to different electric field E), the pair production effects are also different. When $\alpha = 1$ ($E = E_c$) and $\alpha = 1.2$ ($E > E_c$) respectively, we plot the results in Fig.5. If $\alpha = 1$, the barrier just disappears and it can be strictly proved, i.e. we can calculate the derivative of V_{tot} and fix the location at $x = 0$, thus we have

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}V_{tot}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right|_{x=0} = (1-\alpha)\,T_F\sqrt{1+\frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2}\,. \tag{2.27}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, the potential barrier vanishes, the production rate of real particles no longer is expo-



(a) (b)

Figure 5: (a) When $\alpha = 1$ ($E = E_c$), the potential barrier vanishes, real particle production rate no longer is exponentially suppressed, the vacuum begins to become unstable. (b) When $\alpha = 1.2$ ($E > E_c$), the potential barrier already vanishes. There is not any quantum tunneling effect any more.

nentially suppressed, the vacuum begins to become unstable. This critical field $E = E_c$ completely agrees with the DBI result (2.24).

3 Research on potential at finite temperature

3.1 Setup

In this section we will consider the finite temperature case. First we should introduce an AdS planar black hole [21]. The metric with Lorentz signature is

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)\mathrm{d}t^2+\frac{L^2}{r^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\mathrm{d}r^2-\frac{r^2}{L^2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\mathrm{d}x^i\right)^2+L^2\mathrm{d}\Omega_5^2. \tag{3.1}$$

The horizon is fixed at $r=r_h$ and the temperature of black hole is [3]

$$T = \frac{r_h}{\pi L^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

This temperature is dual to gauge theory [16].

3.2 Calculating the critical field by DBI action

We need to consider the DBI action of a rotating probe D3-brane on the AdS black hole background with a constant world-volume electric field E. The rotating probe D3-brane is fixed at $r=r_0$ and we follow the assumption as

$$r_h < r_c < r_0. \tag{3.3}$$

So the DBI action is written as

$$S_{\mathrm{DBI}} = -T_{\mathrm{D3}}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-\det(G_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})}\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where T_{D3} is the brane tension and the expression of T_{D3} is also (2.17).

We use Eq.(3.1) to compute the induced metric $G_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{00}=\left(\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 g_{00}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^0}\right)^2 g_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)+L^2\dot{\phi}^2, \qquad \dot{\phi}=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}, \\ G_{11} = G_{22} &= G_{33} = -\frac{r^2}{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Considering the $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ term again, we have

$$G_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} r^2(1-r_h^4/r^4)/L^2+L^2\dot{\phi}^2 & 2\pi\alpha' E_1 & 2\pi\alpha' E_2 & 2\pi\alpha' E_3 \\ -2\pi\alpha' E_1 & -r^2/L^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\pi\alpha' E_2 & 0 & -r^2/L^2 & 0 \\ -2\pi\alpha' E_3 & 0 & 0 & -r^2/L^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore,

$$\det(G_{\mu\nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}) = -\left(\frac{r^2}{L^2}\right)^4\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}+\frac{L^4}{r^2}\dot{\phi}^2-\frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2L^4}{r^4}E^2\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Taking Eq.(3.7) into the DBI action (3.4) and making the probe D3-brane located at $r=r_0$, we can achieve that

$$S_{\rm DBI} \overset{r=r_0}{=\!=} -T_{\rm D3}\frac{r_0^4}{L^4}\int {\rm d}^4x\sqrt{1-\frac{r_h^4}{r_0^4}+\frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2-\frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2L^4}{r_0^4}E^2}. \tag{3.8}$$

In order to avoid the action (3.8) being ill-defined, we need

$$1-\frac{r_h^4}{r_0^4}+\frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2-\frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2L^4}{r_0^4}E^2 \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

So the range of electric field is

$$E \leq \frac{r_0^2}{L^2}T_F\sqrt{1-\frac{r_h^4}{r_0^4}+\frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{3.10}$$

We can finally get the critical field value at finite temperature, i.e.,

$$E_c = \frac{r_0^2}{L^2}T_F\sqrt{1-\frac{r_h^4}{r_0^4}+\frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

It can be seen that the critical electric field E_c depends on the temperature and the angular velocity.

3.3 Potential analysis

Just like the zero temperature case, we need to analyze the classical solution of string world-sheet. The signature is Euclidean. The assumptions do not change and there are some modifications in induced metric G_{ab}. The Nambu-Goto action is

$$S = T_F \int \mathrm{d}\tau \int \mathrm{d}\sigma \sqrt{\det G_{ab}}\,, \tag{3.12}$$

where $G_{ab}(a,\ b = 0,1)$ is induced metric, i.e. $G_{ab} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^a}\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \sigma^b} g_{\mu\nu}$. The world-sheet coordinates of string are also $\sigma^a = (\tau,\ \sigma)$ and it is convenient to work on the static gauge, which is given by Eq.(2.3). The radial direction only depends on σ and angular direction only depends on τ, that is, Eq.(2.4).

We should calculate the induced metric G_{ab} under the ansatz above. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{00} &= \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \sigma^0}\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \sigma^0}\, g_{00} + \frac{\partial x^\phi}{\partial \sigma^0}\frac{\partial x^\phi}{\partial \sigma^0}\, g_{\phi\phi} \\
&= \frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right) + L^2\dot{\phi}^2, \qquad \dot{\phi} \equiv \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau}, \\
G_{01} &= G_{10} = 0, \\
G_{11} &= \frac{\partial x^1}{\partial \sigma^1}\frac{\partial x^1}{\partial \sigma^1}\, g_{11} + \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \sigma^1}\frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \sigma^1}\, g_{rr} \\
&= \frac{r^2}{L^2} + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \sigma}\right)^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Then we can obtain the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\right)^2 + \frac{r^4}{L^4}\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right) + r^2\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{L^4}{r^2}\left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\right)^2 \dot{\phi}^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Because $\mathcal{L}$ doesnt depend on σ explicitly, which implies that the conserved quantity is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\sigma r)}\partial_\sigma r - \mathcal{L} = C_1. \tag{3.15}$$

Putting Eq.(3.14) into Eq.(3.15), we can get

$$\frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}+\frac{L^4}{r^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\dot{\phi}^2\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}}{\sqrt{\left[1+\frac{L^4}{r^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\dot{\phi}^2\right]\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\right)^2+\frac{r^4}{L^4}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)+r^2\dot{\phi}^2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}$$
$$-\sqrt{\left[1+\frac{L^4}{r^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\dot{\phi}^2\right]\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\right)^2+\frac{r^4}{L^4}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)+r^2\dot{\phi}^2} = C_1, \tag{3.16}$$

Thus,

$$-\frac{\frac{r^4}{L^4}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)+r^2\dot{\phi}^2}{\sqrt{\left[1+\frac{L^4}{r^2}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)^{-1}\dot{\phi}^2\right]\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\right)^2+\frac{r^4}{L^4}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)+r^2\dot{\phi}^2}} = C_1. \tag{3.17}$$

Considering the same boundary condition in zero temperature case Eq.(2.10), and substituting it into Eq.(3.17), we can find the conserved constant

$$C_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{r_c^4}{L^4}\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r_c^4}\right)+r_c^2\dot{\phi}^2}\,. \tag{3.18}$$

Putting the Eq.(3.18) into Eq.(3.17), we obtain the equation for finite temperature, that is,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}\sigma} = \frac{1}{L^2}\sqrt{\frac{(r^2-r_c^2)(r^4-r_h^4)(r^2+r_c^2+L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}{r_c^4-r_h^4+L^4\dot{\phi}^2}}. \tag{3.19}$$

Aiming to Eq.(3.19), we first consider the situation of $\dot{\phi}=0$. Eq.(3.2) says that the temperature is proportional to the radius of horizon r_h, thus that we choose a fixed temperature is equivalent to choose a fixed value of r_h. Because the position of probe D3-brane has been fixed at $r=r_0$, the rest parameters are r_c and $r(\sigma)$. In the other words, when we choose a vertex r_c of the D3-brane, which can draw a configuration figure about $r(\sigma)$. Choosing $r_h=0.05$ and $r_0=0.1$, the different positions of r_c will lead to the different shapes of D3-brane at finite temperature, as shown in Fig.6. When the horizon r_h gets closer to r_c, that is, the higher the temperature, the D3-brane configuration will become longer and thinner, the separate distance x will become smaller. When r_h closes to r_c



Figure 6: Choosing $r_h = 0.05$ and $r_0 = 0.1$, the different positions of r_c will lead to the different shapes of D3-brane at finite temperature, as shown in Fig.6. When the horizon r_h gets closer to r_c, that is, the higher the temperature, the D3-brane configuration will become longer and thinner, the separate distance x will become smaller. When r_h closes to r_c infinitely, we can see the D3-brane be drawn into a thin line with zero separate length.

infinitely, we can see the D3-brane be drawn into a thin line with zero separate length.

When D3-brane to be drawn into a thin line, which represents the spacing is always zero, it means that no matter where the probe point r_0 is in any position, the distance of test particles always is zero. Back to the previous section for the total potential energy equation (3.21), the distance of test particles is identified by $x = 0$. It implies that the virtual particles can't get any energy from the external electric field, particles and anti-particles are firmly locked together, never separate and never inspire real particles. This is a failure of the Schwinger effect because the particles will remain at annihilate state, which is an absolute vacuum state.

About the separate distance x, integrating Eq.(3.19), we have

$$x = 2\int_{r_c}^{r_0} \mathrm{d}r\, L^2 \sqrt{\frac{r_c^4 - r_h^4 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2}{(r^2 - r_c^2)(r^4 - r_h^4)(r^2 + r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}}\,. \tag{3.20}$$

The result is shown in Fig.7. We can find that the separation distance of the test particles first gets a similar linear growth from $r_c = r_0$. Because of the black hole's gravitation, x decays to zero rapidly when r_c crosses a certain point and gradually closes to r_h [22].

Now we consider the situation that $\dot{\phi}$ is not zero. Suppose $\dot{\phi} = 0.3$, we re-examine Eq.(3.19) and the test particle separation distance (3.20), the results are showed in Fig.8. It can be seen in Fig.8



Figure 7: The relation between different r_c and the separate length of the test particles on the rotating probe D3-brane when $r_h = 0.05$ and $r_0 = 0.1$ at finite temperature. The separate length x decays to zero rapidly when r_c gradually gets closer to $r_h = 0.05$.

(a), there is a rapid increase near $r_c = r_h$ significantly. In Fig.8 (b), both dotted and solid lines, their vertices both are at $r_c = 0.501 r_h$. Because there is the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0.3$, the solid line "open" a wider width than $\dot{\phi} = 0$.

As showed in Fig.6, if D3-brane is drawn into a thin line that the spacing is zero (absolute vacuum state), the rotation in S^5 is a way to break the absolute vacuum state. Fig.8 (a) clearly shows that once there is an angular velocity $\dot{\phi}$, the length of test particles is increased significantly near the horizon, so the emergence of an absolute vacuum is not allowed. This rotation is just an objective physical phenomenon.



(a) (b)

Figure 8: (a) There is a rapid increase near $r_c = r_h$ significantly. (b) Both dotted and solid lines, their vertices both are at $r_c = 0.501 r_0$. Because there is the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0.3$, the solid line "open" a wider width than $\dot{\phi} = 0$.

When r_h gets more away from r_c or r_h go more away from r_0 near the horizon, the separate distance of test particles will be more and more widely. Similarly, when we take an angular velocity $\dot{\phi}$ in S^5 spherical, the separate length spacing also will be more and more widely. Through Eq.(3.2) we realize that the smaller r_h means that the lower the temperature, and this cooling behavior corresponds a kind of spherical rotation. That is an interesting thing.

3.4 Total potential

Now, at finite temperature, we compute the total potential by turning on an electric field E along the x^1 direction. For simplicity, we introduce a dimensionless parameter α (2.25). So the total potential V_{tot} is written as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{tot} &= V_{\mathrm{CP+E}} - Ex \\ &= 2\,T_F\left[\int_{r_c}^{r_0} \mathrm{d}r\, \frac{r^4 - r_h^4 + L^4 r^2 \dot{\phi}^2}{\sqrt{(r^2 - r_c^2)(r^4 - r_h^4)(r^2 + r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}}\right. \\ &- \left. \alpha \frac{r_0^2}{L^2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{L^4}{r_0^2}\dot{\phi}^2}\int_{r_c}^{r_0} \mathrm{d}r L^2 \sqrt{\frac{r_c^4 - r_h^4 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2}{(r^2 - r_c^2)(r^4 - r_h^4)(r^2 + r_c^2 + L^4\dot{\phi}^2)}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, if the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0$, $\dot{\phi} = 2$ and $\dot{\phi} = 4$ respectively, the relation between



Figure 9: For $\alpha = 1$, when the angular velocity $\dot{\phi} = 0, 2, 4$, respectively, the relation between the total potential V_{tot} and the separate distance x is showed. It can be seen that the potential barrier just vanishes, so the result completely agrees with the DBI result.

the total potential V_{tot} and the separate distance x is shown in Fig.9. It can be seen that the potential



(a) (b)

Figure 10: (a) When $\alpha = 0.8$ ($E < E_c$), the potential barrier exists, real particle production rate is exponentially suppressed, the vacuum is stable. (b) When $\alpha = 1.2$ ($E > E_c$), the potential barrier already vanishes. The vacuum becomes totally catastrophic unstable.

barrier just vanishes, so the result agrees with the DBI result completely. For $\alpha = 0.8$ ($E < E_c$) and $\alpha = 1.2$ ($E > E_c$), the results are showed in Fig.10.

4 Discussion, Summary and Conclusion

We set the probe D3-brane fixing at a middle position in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ to have the angular velocity and systematically finish the potential investigation in holographic Schwinger effect. We discover, for zero temperature case, the faster the angular velocity, the farther the distance of the test particles at D3-brane, the potential barrier of total potential energy also grows higher and wider. For finite temperature case, besides the potential research under AdS black hole metric, we find that the system temperature is associated with a certain direction angular velocity in S^5 in D3-brane configuration figure. We further discover: Near the horizon, if the system temperature is reduced, it means that the gravity effect grows weak, the separate distance of test particles increases and a certain angular velocity in S^5 can achieve the same effect.

Generally speaking, the motion, especially rotation, will correspond to a certain way of doing work, it will inevitably produce the heat. However, in our model, which is constructed by the rotating probe D3-brane at finite temperature, if there is the angular velocity in S^5, the model can carry the centrifugal force, which will make the distance of the test particles pair become farther. This process is equivalent to the decrease of horizon r_h (it means that the gravity effect grows weak) and is equivalent to the cooling process of the system temperature.

This paper, for the first time, discover: at finite temperature, when S^5 without rotation, because D3-brane can be drawn into a zero-distance line of test particles pair, which leads to the occurrence of an absolute vacuum, this is a failure of the Schwinger effect because the particles will remain at annihilate state; but once there is the angular velocity in S^5, the increase of the test particles distance will avoid the existence of the absolute vacuum near the horizon.

After setting the probe D3-brane to have the angular velocity, for both zero temperature and finite temperature states, the critical field E_c we obtained in the potential investigation completely agrees with the results of the DBI action. And in terms of the whole investigations in this paper, people can believe that this model will play important roles in pair production research in the future.

References

[1] Julian Schwinger. On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization. *Physical Review*, 82(5):664, 1951.

[2] AS Gorsky, KA Saraikin, and KG Selivanov. Schwinger type processes via branes and their gravity duals. *Nuclear Physics B*, 628(1):270–294, 2002.

[3] Yoshiki Sato and Kentaroh Yoshida. Potential analysis in holographic schwinger effect. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(8):1–14, 2013.

[4] Yoshiki Sato and Kentaroh Yoshida. Holographic schwinger effect in confining phase. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(9):1–12, 2013.

[5] Ian K Affleck, Orlando Alvarez, and Nicholas S Manton. Pair production at strong coupling in weak external fields. *Nuclear Physics B*, 197(3):509–519, 1982.

[6] Gordon W Semenoff and Konstantin Zarembo. Holographic schwinger effect. *Physical review letters*, 107(17):171601, 2011.

[7] S Bolognesi, F Kiefer, and E Rabinovici. Comments on critical electric and magnetic fields from holography. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(1):1–26, 2013.

[8] Yoshiki Sato and Kentaroh Yoshida. Holographic description of the schwinger effect in electric and magnetic field. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(4):1–15, 2013.

[9] Sumit R Das, Tatsuma Nishioka, and Tadashi Takayanagi. Probe branes, time-dependent couplings and thermalization in ads/cft. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2010(7):1–42, 2010.

[10] S-J Rey and J-T Yee. Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks: Large-n gauge theory and anti-de sitter supergravity. *The European Physical Journal C-Particles and Fields*, 22(2):379–394, 2001.

[11] Juan Maldacena. Wilson loops in large n field theories. *Physical Review Letters*, 80(22):4859, 1998.

[12] Qing Zhang and Yong-Chang Huang. Dbi potential, dbi inflation action and general lagrangian relative to phantom, k-essence and quintessence. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2011(11):050, 2011.

[13] Rong-Gen Cai. Dynamics and thermodynamics of a probe brane in the multicenter and rotating d3-brane background. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 1999(09):027, 1999.

[14] Steven S Gubser. Thermodynamics of spinning d3-branes. *Nuclear Physics B*, 551(3):667–684, 1999.

[15] A Brandhuber and K Sfetsos. Wilson loops from multicentre and rotating branes, mass gaps and phase structure in gauge theories. *arXiv preprint hep-th/9906201*, 1999.

[16] Daniel Z Freedman, Steven S Gubser, Krzysztof Pilch, and Nicholas P Warner. Continuous distributions of d3-branes and gauged supergravity. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2000 (07):038, 2000.

[17] Joseph A Minahan and Nicholas P Warner. Quark potentials in the higgs phase of large n supersymmetric yang-mills theories. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 1998(06):005, 1998.

[18] Jacob Sonnenschein and Dorin Weissman. Rotating strings confronting pdg mesons. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2014(8):1–47, 2014.

[19] George Jorjadze, Jan Plefka, and Jonas Pollok. Bosonic string quantization in a static gauge. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 45(48):485401, 2012.

[20] Barton Zwiebach. *A first course in string theory*. Cambridge university press, 2004.

[21] Donald Marolf. Black holes, ads, and cfts. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 41(4):903–917, 2009.

[22] Soo-Jong Rey, Stefan Theisen, and Jung-Tay Yee. Wilson-polyakov loop at finite temperature in large-n gauge theory and anti-de sitter supergravity. *Nuclear Physics B*, 527(1):171–186, 1998.